

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4 IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST
5 LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:17-md-02801-JD

Master File No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

6 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL
7 DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS WITH
HITACHI CHEMICAL AND SOSHIN
DEFENDANTS**

8
9
10
11 On May 3, 2018, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs (“Plaintiffs”) filed a Motion for Final Approval
12 of Proposed Settlements with Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd., Hitachi AIC, Inc., and Hitachi Chemical
13 Co. America, Ltd. (collectively, “Hitachi Chemical”); and Soshin Electric Co., Ltd., and Soshin
14 Electronics of America Inc. (collectively, “Soshin”) (all Hitachi Chemical and Soshin entities
15 together, the “Settling Defendants”) [ECF No. 172]¹. The Court, having reviewed the motion and
16 affidavits in support thereof, the settlement agreements with Settling Defendants (“Settlement
17 Agreements” or the “Settlements”), the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the
18 arguments of counsel and the parties at the hearing held on June 7, 2018, hereby finds that final
19 approval of the Settlements should be GRANTED.

20
21 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

22 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to
23 the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the Settlement Class.

24 2. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and
25 incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreements [ECF Nos. 172-3 – 172-4].
26

27
28 ¹ All citations to the docket are to 3:17-md-02801, except where the document is available only in
docket number 3:14-cv-03264

1 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), the Court appoints the Joseph Saveri Law Firm as
2 counsel for the Settlement Class. This firm has and will fairly and competently represent the
3 interests of the Settlement Class.

4 4. By Order dated March 2, 2018 [ECF No. 2075], the Court provisionally certified for
5 settlement a Settlement Class defined as follows:

6 All persons in the United States that purchased Capacitors (including through
7 controlled subsidiaries, agents, affiliates or joint-ventures) directly from any of the
8 Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, affiliates or joint ventures from January 1, 2002
9 through July 22, 2015. Excluded from the settlement class are Defendants (and their
subsidaries, agents or affiliates), any co-conspirator, all governmental entities and the
judges and chambers staff in this case assigned to hear any aspect of this action, and
each member of the class action who timely requested exclusion.

10 5. The persons/entities identified in the “Summary of Entities Requesting Exclusion as
11 of May 3, 2018” attached as **Exhibit A**, have validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class
12 and, therefore, are excluded, except that Arrow Electronics, Inc. requested to be excluded only with
13 respect to the settlements relating to Soshin. Such persons/entities, and only such persons/entities,
14 are not included in or bound by this Order with respect to the Settling Defendants from whose
15 settlements they have requested exclusion. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery of
16 the settlement proceeds obtained through the Settlement Agreements with respect to the Settling
17 Defendants from whose settlements they have requested exclusion.

18 6. The Settlement Class definition as set forth above and as used in this Order is for
19 settlement purposes only. It has no binding effect on the Court, Plaintiffs, or on the indirect-
20 purchaser plaintiffs for any other purpose, including but not limited to the filing or resolution of any
21 motion(s) for class certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23.

22 7. The Court further finds that the prerequisites to a class action under Rule 23 are
23 satisfied for settlement purposes in that: (a) there are hundreds of geographically dispersed class
24 members, making joinder of all members impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact
25 common to the class which predominate over individual issues; (c) the claims or defenses of the class
26 plaintiffs are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; (d) the plaintiffs will fairly and adequately
27 protect the interests of the class, and have retained counsel experienced in antitrust class action
28

1 litigation who have, and will continue to, adequately represent the class; and (e) a class action is
2 superior to individual actions.

3 8. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlements set forth in the
4 Settlement Agreements and finds that said settlements are, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and
5 adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6 9. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action in favor of
7 Settling Defendants, with all parties to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

8 10. Settling Defendants are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to
9 the Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreements [ECF Nos. 172-3 – 172-4].

10 11. The notice given to the members of the Settlement Class was the best notice
11 practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Settlement
12 Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice met the requirements of due
13 process and provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth
14 therein, including the proposed settlements set forth in the Settlement Agreements, to all persons
15 entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e)(1)
16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.

17 12. The plan of allocation described in Plaintiffs' Motion is, in all respects, fair, adequate,
18 and reasonable. Accordingly, the Court hereby grants final approval of the plan of allocation.

19 13. Without affecting the finality of the Judgments in any way, this Court hereby retains
20 continuing jurisdiction over: (a) the Settlements and Settlement Agreements, including all future
21 proceedings concerning the administration, interpretation, consummation, and enforcement of the
22 Settlement Agreements; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining
23 applications by Plaintiffs' counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, interest, and incentive awards;
24 (d) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and
25 (e) all parties to the Action and Releasers for the purpose of enforcing and administering the
26 Settlement Agreements and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed
27 in connection with the Settlement Agreements.
28

1 14. In the event that a party exercises a right to terminate a Settlement Agreement as
2 provided by the terms of that Settlement Agreement, then the Judgment entered as to that
3 Defendant shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered
4 and releases delivered in connection herewith as to that Defendant shall be null and void and the
5 parties shall be returned to their respective positions *ex ante*.

6 15. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil
7 Procedure, that Final Judgments of Dismissal with prejudice as to Settling Defendants
8 (“Judgments”) should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry
9 of the Judgments, as Final Judgments, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreements.

10 Accordingly, the Court directs the Clerk to enter Judgment forthwith for Settling
11 Defendants.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 Dated: June 28, 2018

15
16
17 
18 _____
19 HON. JAMES DONATO
20 United States District Judge